Case 1776 Ev-00460-JPB-JSA Document 4 Filed 02/06/19 Page 1 of 11

RECEIVED IN CLERK'S OFFICE V-00460-JPB-JSA Document 4 Filed 02/06/19 Page 1 of 11

RECEIVED IN CLERK'S OFFICE V-00460-JPB-JSA Document 4 Filed 02/06/19 Page 1 of 11

RECEIVED IN CLERK'S OFFICE V-00460-JPB-JSA Document 4 Filed 02/06/19 Page 1 of 11

RECEIVED IN CLERK'S OFFICE V-0461

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

AND LEGATION FILE NO.

DEBORAH MARSHALL

(Print your full name)

Plaintiff pro se,

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.

1 19 - CV - 0 4 60

(to be assigned by Clerk)

(Print full name of each defendant; an employer is usually the defendant)

Defendant(s).

PRO SE EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT FORM

Claims and Jurisdiction

1. This employment discrimination lawsuit is brought under (check only those that apply):



Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e et seq., for employment discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, or retaliation for exercising rights under this statute.

NOTE: To sue under Title VII, you generally must have received a notice of right-to-sue letter from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").

	Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et seq., for employment discrimination against persons age 40 and over, or retaliation for exercising rights under this statute.
	NOTE : To sue under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, you generally must first file a charge of discrimination with the EEOC.
<u> </u>	Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq., for employment discrimination on the basis of disability, or retaliation for exercising rights under this statute.
	NOTE : To sue under the Americans With Disabilities Act, you generally must have received a notice of right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.
	Other (describe)
•	
	,

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case under the above-listed statutes and under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

Parties

3.	Plaintiff.	Print your full name and mailing address below:
	Name	DeboRAH MARSHAII
	Address	3190 Chern Court
		Decatur, CA 30034
4.	Defendant	(s). Print below the name and address of each defendant listed on page 1 of this form:
	Name	U.S. Department of Housing and Meban Develop
	Address	40 Marietta Street
		Atlanta, Con 30303
	Name	
	Address	
	Name	
	Address	
		Location and Time
5.		ed discriminatory conduct occurred at a location <u>different</u> from the ovided for defendant(s), state where that discrimination occurred:
	Same	as above
	~~~	

More	mber 2016 through October 27,0
	Administrative Procedures
	e a charge of discrimination against defendant(s) with the EEO federal agency? Yes No
Ify	ou checked "Yes," attach a copy of the charge to this complain
Have you	received a Notice of Right-to-Sue letter from the EEOC?
<u>√</u> Y€	No
Ify	ou checked "Yes," attach a copy of that letter to this complaint
	e the date on which you received that let
If you are	suing for age discrimination, check one of the following:
	·
	60 days or more have elapsed since I filed my charge of discrimination with the EEOC

10.	If you were employed by an agency of the State of Georgia or unsuccessfully sought employment with a State agency, did you file a complaint against defendant(s) with the Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity?							
	Yes No Not applicable, because I was not an employee of, or applicant with a State agency.							
	If you checked "Yes," attach a copy of the complaint you filed with the Georgia Commission on Equal Opportunity and describe below what happened with it (i.e., the complaint was dismissed, there was a hearing before a special master, or there was an appeal to Superior Court):							
11.	If you were employed by a Federal agency or unsuccessfully sough employment with a Federal agency, did you complete the administrative process established by that agency for persons alleging denial of equa employment opportunity?							
	Yes No Not applicable, because I was not an employee of, or applicant with a Federal agency.							
	If you checked "Yes," describe below what happened in that administrative process: 1. filed an FFO Complaint of Disovinivation On October 27, 2017.							

Nature of the Case

,	failure to hire me
	failure to promote me
	demotion
	reduction in my wages
	working under terms and conditions of employment that different
/,	from similarly situated employees
	harassment
	retaliation
_	termination of my employment
	failure to accommodate my disability
	other (please specify)
believe ti	nat I was discriminated against because of (check only those th
	nat I was discriminated against because of (check only those the my race or color) which is
	my race or color, which is
	my race or color, which is my religion, which is male femal my national origin, which is male femal
	my race or color, which is
	my race or color, which is
	my race or color, which is
	my race or cotor, which is
	my race or cotor, which is
	my race or cotor, which is

14.	Write below, as clearly as possible, the essential facts of your claim(s).
	Describe specifically the conduct that you believe was discriminatory or
	retaliatory and how each defendant was involved. Include any facts which
	show that the actions you are complaining about were discriminatory or
	retaliatory. Take time to organize your statements; you may use numbered
	paragraphs if you find that helpful. Do not make legal arguments or cite cases
	or statutes.

Please	See	the	af	fac	hed	de	failed	dese	Vip	Lion
Please of all co claims	Roun	154AN	ces	ano	lack	ions	Yhat	Supp	out	m
laims	Sef	Visov	'וחה'	Natu	nota			//		
	,						,			
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
					<u></u>					
			······	***************************************						
······································							,			
	.,,	y,								
										
								····		
							·			
		<u>.</u>								
710000					<u></u>					

16. Detailed Description of all circumstances and actions that support any claim (3) 618 of 11 discrimination on the basis/bases identified in Item 14.

On July 27, 2016 and November 21, 2016, I filed a complaint of discrimination against the Agency and Kevin Shearer. Since filing the complaint, I have been subjected to retaliation, hostile work environment, and disparate treatment.

- 1. Since engaging in the protected activity described above, Kevin demeanor has changed; taking a turn towards hostility and vindictiveness. When he comes down my aisle in the mornings, he greets everyone with a "Good morning [employee's name]", except me. He repeats this routine when he leaves in the evenings. When we have mistakenly met each other in the aisle and I speak to him, he forces an audible grunt; instead of responding inkind.
- 2. I independently completed a project with the Mortgage Review Board in Headquarters (MRB). After instructing me to submit a synopsis of this work for inclusion in the Atlanta HOC FY2016 Annual Report, Mr. Shearer credited my work for the Mortgage Review Board to the Quality Assurance Division team members. Similarly, I was not acknowledged or awarded for the work with the MRB; until after I filed my complaint. Several similarly situated employees who had not engaged in protected activity were given awards for much less substantial work.
- 3. Shortly after engaging in protected activity, I was removed from participating in servicing reviews. On or around March 15, 2017, Valerie J. Williams approached me with questions and/or comments relative to my reasonable accommodation, field review target assignments, and the monitors who would be performing the servicing monitoring reviews in Florida. She then proceeded to tell me that the servicing reviews had been assigned to Irene Nesbitt and Erika Robinson-Pean on her team, and Jesseka Williamson and Selene Proenza on Ada Caro's team. When I informed Valerie of my concerns of not being included in servicing reviews and serving as a Team Leader, she stated that nothing is final, and concerns would be taken into consideration when the Branch Chiefs make the final assignments. During my mid-term evaluation, I reminded her that she had not assigned me as a Team Leader for field reviews. To date, I have not received further response from Valerie relative to my participation in targeted servicing field reviews or serving as a Team Leader.
- 4. Since I engaged in protected activity, Valerie Williams, my supervisor, has never asked me to serve as the Acting Branch Chief during her absence. As recent as June 12th through June 19th, other employees on her team were asked to serve as the Acting Branch Chief.
- 5. On or around June 21, 2017, Kevin made a derogatory remark concerning my work to representatives in Headquarters and Valerie Williams; my immediate supervisor. I had been asked by a representative in Headquarters to work on the servicing part of the new Loan Review System (LRS) because of my expertise in servicing and they wanted "fresh eyes" for the testing phase.

Alborol Marshall
page 19 2

Case 1:19-cv-00460-JPB-JSA Document 4 Filed 02/06/19 Page 9 of 11

In communicating with headquarters about the opportunity, Ms. Williams requested that Irene Nesbit participate in the project as well. Shortly thereafter, Mr. Shearer responded that he agreed with the inclusion of Irene Nesbit and stated, "Besides her knowledge and experience with Servicing, I feel Irene would keep me in the loop and give more accurate feedback." Headquarters then responded: "I'm going to defer to Atlanta management on this. Sounds like they have their reasons."

- 6. Non-selection Non-selection for Quality Assurance Division (QAD) Supervisory Single-Family Housing Specialist, GS 14 position, Announcement No.: 17-HUD-822.
- 7. On August 30, 2017, my name was omitted in the accomplishments section of the 3rd Quarter Newsletter The Collaborator. I was not acknowledged for my work with representatives in Headquarters and Atlanta QAD for the servicing part of the Loan Review System (LRS). However, Irene Nesbit was identified and given credit for her part in working on the LRS.
- 8. Since engaging in protected activity, I was removed from participating as a Team Leader for origination reviews. Kevin identified the Team Leaders (Batch Owners and Lender Operations Reviewers) on September 14, 2017 for three on-site field reviews. All other employees would be a team member. The Batch Owners were John O'Hara, Barbara Whiteside, and Jesseka Williamson. The Lender Operations Reviewers were Joanne Frasier, William Salva, and Heidi Sanchez. The Team Leader assignments were not based on your experience in conducting origination field reviews. Kevin informed us that his decision was based on the number of files the employees had received and completed in the Loan Review System (LRS), and their reviews were completed less than the 20 calendar days deadline. Joanne and I were on Jesseka's team. Joanne could not go onsite for the monitoring review; therefore, she was replaced with Nkechi Adiele. Nkechi is a new hire to QAD and has never conducted an origination field review. Yet, she was given an opportunity to serve in the role of reviewing the lender's operation. I trained Joanne and Jesseka in how to conduct origination field reviews when they came as new hires to QAD.

Kevin informed us on October 18, 2017 that we were assigned the first batch of servicing self-report files based on our servicing experience. Based on their servicing experience, Heidi and Jesseka were also given an opportunity to work on servicing self-report files.

Albarah Marshur Page 2012

15.	Plaintiff	still works for defendant(s) no longer works for defendant(s) or was not hired
16.		a disability-related claim, did defendant(s) deny a request for accommodation? YesNo
	assigni 2 hour	nents IN an automobile not to effect of work of however, I was given assignments oruld have included driving more than My physical disphility is back for the physical disphility is back for
17.		ne goes to trial, it will be heard by a judge <u>unless</u> you elect a jury ou request a jury trial? Yes No
		Request for Relief
		he allegations of discrimination and/or retaliation stated above, at the Court grant the following relief (check any that apply):
		Defendant(s) be directed to
	$\frac{1}{2}$	Money damages (list amounts)
	$\sqrt{}$	Costs and fees involved in litigating this case
		Such other relief as my be appropriate

PLEASE READ BEFORE SIGNING THIS COMPLAINT

Before you sign this Complaint and file it with the Clerk, please review Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for a full description of your obligation of good faith in filing this Complaint and any motion or pleading in this Court, as well as the sanctions that may be imposed by the Court when a litigant (whether plaintiff or defendant) violates the provisions of Rule 11. These sanctions may include an order directing you to pay part or all of the reasonable attorney's fees and other expenses incurred by the defendant(s). Finally, if the defendant(s) is the prevailing party in this lawsuit, costs (other than attorney's fees) may be imposed upon you under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1).

Signed, this 28	day of Sanuary	, 20
	(Signature of plaintiff pro s	Irsdall re)
	Deborah Mar (Printed name of plaintiff page)	shall rose)
	3190 Chevu a (street address)	
	Decalur, GA (City, State, and zip code)	30034
	de bomar 1 p (email address) #1	<u>@ aol, Com</u>
	770-335-400 (telephone number) $770-323-741$	